

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/895,1195	07/02/2001	Makoto Shimizu	065925 A5112 EXAMINER	
75	90 02/23/2004			
SUGERUE, MION, ZINN, MAGREAK & SEAS, PLLC			WEEKS, GLORIA R	
			ARTIBUT	DARED MUNICIPAL
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	Ø
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/895,195	SHIMIZU ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gloria R Weeks	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1413 may only be either. (I condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced a simple of this application of the same of	cation. A proper re Chyplaces the apoli	ply to a catiom⊭in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The darkave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			,,				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	* ***	or the appear.					
_		(see NOTE below):					
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 							
(c) \Box they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	etion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ———	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecutions	sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>25-29,37 and 39-41</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: 34 and 42.							
Claim(s) rejected: 30-33,35,36 and 43.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			1				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	— ///	·//				
10. ☐ Other:		Rinaldi I. I Suparvisory Pats Group 3	ent Examiner				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Continuation of 10. Other: In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not includ knowledge-gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness ca only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. In this case, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of manufacturing articles from a continuous web of material, at the time the invention was made, to include a free loop in the apparatus of McCole as taught by Rutledge for the purpose of feeding the web at different speeds in particular stations of the conveying plane without affecting other stations of the apparatus. This argument can be supported by the abstract of Rutledge et al. . .